IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRI.W.P.NO.692 OF 2006

Ashok H.Advani ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra
and Ors. ..Respondents

....

Mr.Mahesh Jethmalani counsel for Petitioner
Mr.D.S.Mhaispurkar, A.P.P. for State
Mr.Mogre Advocate for Respondent No.2

....

CORAM: D.G.DESHPANDE AND SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.

DATE : APRIL 24,2006

P.C.:

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner i.e. original accused, learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for Respondent No.2 i.e. original complainant.

2. The petitioner is seeking quashing of C.R.No. 93 of 2004. The said C.R. pertains to Economic Wing, Mumbai. The learned counsel for the petitioner and Respondent-original complainant state that the dispute between the complainant and

present petitioner has been settled. The learned counsel for the complainant stated that the complainant has no objection to the complaint being quashed. An affidavit to the said effect is also filed by the complainant.

3. In view of the fact that the parties have settled the disputes, in our view, no purpose would be served by continuing with the proceedings relating to C.R. No.93 of 2004. Hence, in view of the decision of the Supreme Court in the case of **B.S.Joshi and another Vs. State of Haryana and another reported in Judgment Today 2003(3) S.C. 277,** C.R.No. 93 of 2004 of GBCB CID, EOW, Mumbai and the proceedings relating thereto, are quashed and set aside.

4. Petition is disposed of.

[D.G.DESHPANDE, J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]